

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,158	10/09/2003	Lawrence Gerard Dobranski	38898-0039	1841
Fraser D. Rowand RIDOUT & MAYBEE LLP Suite 2400 One Queen Street East Toronto, ON M5C 3B1 CANADA			EXAMINER	
			KLIMACH, PAULĄ W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/10/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/681,158	DOBRANSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paula W. Klimach	2135			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediately and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Oc	ctober 2003.				
·						
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachmen	• •	🗖	(770 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	·			
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-23, and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawthorne (5,798,381).

In reference to claims 1, 8, and 15, Hawthorne discloses a system and method that enables encrypted communication between two station wherein the apparatus when acting as a sender creates a mutual primitive from stored items of data to generate a random session key and encrypt the random session key in accordance with the mutual primitive for transmission of the encrypted session key to the recipient station (abstract). The method comprises creating, at the transmitting set, a bitstream, said bitstream including a synchronization vector derived from a session key (column 5 lines 45-49), wherein the definition of synchronization vector is as discloses on the applicants specification page 15 paragraph 0052; generating, at the transmitting set, an encryption signal based upon said session key and encrypting said bitstream with said encryption signal (column 5 lines 50-55); transmitting said encrypted bitstream from the transmitting set to the receiving set (column 5 lines 52-55); and generating, at the receiving set, said encryption signal based upon said session key and decrypting said encrypted bitstream (column 5 line 67) using said encryption signal to identify said synchronization vector (column 5 lines 55-67), whereby said synchronization vector is used to synchronize the encryption and decryption of data (column 1 line 67).

In reference to claims 2, 9, and 16 Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said synchronization vector comprises said session key (column 6 lines 1-7).

Art Unit: 2135

In reference to claims 3, 10 and 17, Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said step of decrypting includes applying a feedback cipher to said encrypted bit stream to obtain a decrypted output, and comparing said decrypted output with said session key (column 5 lines 20-24 in combination with column 6 lines 50-55).

In reference to claims 4, 11, and 18, Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said bitstream includes random bits followed by said synchronization vector, followed by voice data (the random session key of Fig. 7 in combination with column 4 lines 55-67 in combination with lines 31-33).

In reference to claims 5, 12, and 19 Hawthorne teaches a system including a first step of calculating said session key from a common seed value (Fig. 7 part 73 and 75).

In reference to claims 6, 13, and 20, Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said step of calculating said session key includes applying a first function to said common seed value to generate said session key (part 71 and 74 Fig. 7).

In reference to claims 7, 14, and 21 Hawthorne teaches a system further including a step of applying a second function to said session key to produce a new seed value for use in subsequent communications (column 4 lines 31-33).

In reference to claims 22 and 28, Hawthorne discloses a system and method that enables encrypted communication between two station wherein the apparatus when acting as a sender creates a mutual primitive from stored items of data to generate a random session key and encrypt the random session key in accordance with the mutual primitive for transmission of the encrypted session key to the recipient station (abstract). The method includes the steps of

Art Unit: 2135

providing the transmitting set and the receiving set with a seed value and a predetermined first function (part 71 and 74 Fig. 7); at each of the transmitting set and the receiving set, applying the predetermined first function to the seed value to produce a session key (part 71 and 74 Fig. 7); at the transmitting set, generating an encryption signal based upon said session key and encoding the streamed voice data (main message) with said encryption signal to produce an encrypted bit stream (column 5 lines 50-55); transmitting said encrypted bitstream from the transmitting set to the receiving set (column 5 lines 52-55); and at the receiving set, generating said encryption signal based upon said session key and decoding said encrypted bitstream using said encryption signal to obtain the streamed voice data (column 5 lines 55-67).

In reference to claims 23 and 29 Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said step of providing includes distributing said seed value to the transmitting set and the receiving set by a call server via the network (column 4 lines 55-60).

In reference to claims 25 and 30 Hawthorne teaches a system further including a step of applying a second function to said session key to produce a new seed value (Fig 7).

In reference to claims 26 and 31 Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said step of encoding the voice data includes the steps of generating a bit stream, wherein said bit stream includes a synchronization vector and the voice data, said synchronization vector comprising said session key, and encrypting said bit stream with said encryption signal to produce an encrypted bit stream (column 5 lines 20-24 in combination with column 6 lines 50-55).

In reference to claims 27 and 32 Hawthorne teaches a system wherein said step of decoding said encrypted bit stream includes the steps of decrypting said encrypted bit stream to produce a decrypted bit stream and comparing said decrypted bit stream with said session key to

Art Unit: 2135

identify said synchronization vector (Fig. 7 part 73 and 75).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawthorne in view of the book by Menezes (Handbook of Applied Cryptography).

In reference to claim 24, Hawthorne may disclose a cipher however, Hawthorne does not teach including a step of receiving an index from a call server, and wherein said step of applying the predetermined first function includes repeating application based upon said index.

Menezes discloses including a step of receiving an index from a call server (trusted courier), and wherein said step of applying the predetermined first function includes repeating application based upon said index (page 21).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the one time pad in the system of Menezes in the system of Hawthorne.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the one time pad is proven unbreakable.

In reference to claim 33, Hawthorne does not teach a system wherein the encrypter

includes an XOR operator.

Menezes discloses a system wherein the encrypter includes an XOR operator (page 21).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the XOR operator as taught by Menezes in the cipher function of Hawthorne. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the XOR operator is a simple operation and therefore fast.

In reference to claim 34 Hawthorne does not each a system wherein the decrypter includes an SOR operator.

Menezes discloses a system wherein the decrypter includes an XOR operator (page 21).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the XOR operator as taught by Menezes in the cipher function of Hawthorne. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the XOR operator is a simple operation and therefore fast.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W. Klimach whose telephone number is (571) 272-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 2135

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PWK

Friday, March 16, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE:
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100